Appl. No.

:

10/621,196

Filed

.

July 15, 2003

REMARKS

The foregoing amendments are responsive to the December 2, 2008 Office Action. Applicant respectfully request reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Response to Rejection of Claims 39, 42 and 45 Under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

The Examiner rejected Claims 39, 42 and 45 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blume et al. (US 6,014,580) in view of Nowlin et al. (US 6,459,926) and Borst (WO 95/01757).

Blume teaches a device for specifying the orientation of a magnetic field. Nowlin teaches a system that maintains a fixed relationship between an input handle end and a surgical end effector. Combining Blume with Nowlin does not yield a system with a tactile feedback and a controller that includes a correction input to allow the system controller to compensate for a dynamic position of a wall of a heart chamber such that a surgical tool moves substantially in unison with said wall.

Borst teaches a system that uses video cameras to track a target and maintain distance between surgical instruments and the target. Borst does not teach or render obvious a system wherein an amount of the tactile feedback is computed by the system controller at least in part according to the position error, wherein a correction input to the desired position is computed based on data from an auxiliary device that measures a position of a heart relative to a frame of reference, such that the system controller compensates for a dynamic position of a wall of a heart chamber such that the distal end moves substantially in unison with a natural motion of the wall. Rather than use a correction input to a control system computing tactile feedback, Borst teaches using a separate control system for adjusting robotic arms to movement of the target. As shown in Figure 6, Borst teaches that data from the image processor 8 is provided to the tracking control

Appl. No. : 10/621,196 Filed : July 15, 2003

31 which in turn controls the robotic arms. The surgeon provides inputs to the <u>separate</u> robotic computer system 37 that in turn controls the independent controls 38 and 38'. In teaching separate control systems, Borst teaches away from the claimed providing a correction input to a system processor that computes tactile feedback. The system of Borst does not teach or render obvious a system where both tactile feedback and motion compensation is provided by a system controller that controls the claimed electromagnets to move a tool having a distal end responsive to magnetic fields. The system of Borst which provides separate control functions is thus inapplicable to the claimed system where only one control modality, the magnetic fields generated by the electromagnets, is available to provide force to move the tip.

In rejecting Applicant's arguments to the previous Office Action, the Examiner misstates Claim 39. The Examiner argues that Claim 39 "merely states a correction input to said desired position is computed. In actuality, Claim 39 states: "... tactile feedback is computed by said system controller at least in part according to said position error, wherein a correction input to said desired position is computed based on data from an auxiliary device ... " The correction input is computed from data from the auxiliary device is used in the tactile feedback computation. Thus, it is not obvious how to combine Borst with Nawlin to compute tactile feedback using a correction input to the position error because Borst teaches decoupling the motion compensation system from the surgeon-controlled system.

Regarding Claim 39, the cited prior art does not teach or render obvious a controllable magnetic field source having a first cluster of electromagnet poles and a second cluster of electromagnet poles, the first cluster of poles substantially opposed to the second cluster of poles; a tool having a distal end responsive to the magnetic field, one or more sensors configured to sense a current position of the distal end, a system controller for controlling the magnetic field source to control a movement of the distal end according to a feedback calculation wherein the system controller computes a position error as a difference between a desired position of the distal end and the current position of the distal end compensated by data from an auxiliary device that measures a position of a heart relative to a frame of reference, such that the system controller

Appl. No.

: 10/621,196

Filed

.

July 15, 2003

compensates for a dynamic position of a wall of a heart chamber such that the distal end moves substantially in unison with a natural motion of the wall, and a user control device to provide user inputs to the system controller wherein the system controller provides tactile feedback to a user through the user control device when the position error exceeds a predetermined value while simultaneously compensating for the dynamic position as the distal end moves substantially in unison with a natural motion of the wall simultaneously compensating for the dynamic position as the distal end moves substantially in unison with a natural motion of the wall.

Claims 40-43 and 45-47 depend from Claim 39 and add additional patentable limitations. Accordingly, Applicant asserts that Claims 39-43 and 45-47 are allowable over the prior art, and Applicant requests allowance of Claims 39-43 and 45-47.

Summary

Applicant respectfully asserts that Claims 39-43 and 45-47 are in condition for allowance, and Applicant request allowance of Claims 39-43 and 45-47. If there are any remaining issues that can be resolved by a telephone conference, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (949) 721-6305 or at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: April 9, 2009

 $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{v}$

Lee W. Henderson Ph.D.

Registration No. 41,830

Attorney of Record

Customer No. 20,995

(949) 760-0404

6556299 012909